Guide for	<b>Review of Rental Proj</b>	ects
<b>Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):</b>		
Staff Consulted:		
Project Name/Address:	Project Amount	
Name(s) of Reviewer(s)	Date	
·	•	·

**NOTE:** All questions that address requirements contain the citation for the source of the requirement (statute, regulation, NOFA, or grant agreement). If the requirement is not met, HUD must make a finding of noncompliance. All other questions (questions that do not contain the citation for the requirement) do not address requirements, but are included to assist the reviewer in understanding the participant's program more fully and/or to identify issues that, if not properly addressed, could result in deficient performance. Negative conclusions to these questions may result in a "concern" being raised, but not a **"finding."** 

<u>Instructions</u>: This Exhibit is designed to review individual project and unit records for HOME-funded rental projects. A separate Exhibit is to be completed for each project monitored. The Exhibit is divided into ten sections: Project Description; Project Recordkeeping; Subsidy; Cost Allocation; Eligible/Reasonable Costs; Property Standards; Initial and On-going Rent and Occupancy Requirements; Other Project Requirements; Construction Management; and On-Site Management. Not all sections may be applicable to a project, particularly if it is not yet completed. The Exhibit may also be used as an interview instrument for program staff. If an area or question is not examined, make a note to this effect in the "Describe Basis for Conclusion" section. Regarding the selection of files to be sampled, the guidance in Section 7-4 in the introduction to this Chapter should be followed.

#### **Questions:**

#### A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1.			
	a. Project Information:		
	1. Project Owner:		
	2. Project commitment date:		
	3. Completion date:		
	4. Total number of units:		
	5. Number of HOME-assisted units:		
	6. HOME assisted units are: Fixed	Floating	

7-1 09/2005

h.	Financial Information:		
	Unit costs were determined by: Proration Actual cost		
	List sources and amounts of funding in project:		
3.7	Terms of HOME assistance (e.g. loan/grant; interest rate; maturity):		
	Per Unit Cost:		
5.	Period of Affordability:		
RE	CCORDKEEPING		
D	oes project file document compliance with:		
a.	Income targeting?		
	[24 CFR 92.252 and 24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(vii)]	Yes	No
b.	Rent requirements?		
	[24 CFR 92.252 and 24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(vii)]	Yes	No
c.	Property standards?		
_	[24 CFR 92.251 and 24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(iv)]	Yes	No
d.	Lead safety requirements? [24 CFR 92.355 and 24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(iv) and (a)(7)(vi)]	Yes	L No
e.	Environmental requirements? (Complete Exhibit 21-1 to answer this		
	question.)	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 92.352 and 24 CFR 92.508(a)(7)(iii)]		
f.	Labor standards?		
	[24 CFR 92.354 and 24 CFR 92.508(a)(7)(v)]	Yes	No
g.	Subsidy limits? [24 CFR 92.250(a) and 24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(iii)]		
1_	Subsidy layering?	Yes	No
h.	[24 CFR 92.250(b) and 24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(iii)]	Yes	∟ No
i.	Eligible Costs?	Tes	
	[24 CFR 92.206 and 24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(ii)]	Yes	No
	escribe Basis for Conclusion:	•	

#### C. SUBSIDY

3.			
Is the HOME subsidy to the project at least \$1000 per unit?			
[24 CFR 92.205(c)]		Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	L		
Is the HOME per-unit subsidy to the project less than the maximum pe	r unit		
subsidy?	1 unit	<u></u>	
[24 CFR 92.250(a)]		Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
j.			
If HOME was combined with other public funds, did the PJ perform a			
subsidy layering review? [24 CFR 92.250(b)]	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
Describe dasis for Conclusion.			

7-3 09/2005

a.				
	Was the subsidy provided in an eligible form of investment (i.e., equity investments, interest or non-interest bearing loans or advances, interest subsidies, deferred payment loans, grants or loan guarantees)? [24 CFR 92.205(b)]		Yes	No
De	escribe Basis for Conclusion:			
b.	If the subsidy was provided in a form not listed above, was that form approved by HUD?  [24 CFR 92.205(b)(1)]	Yes	No	N/A
	escribe Basis for Conclusion:			
				Į.
CC	OST ALLOCATION			
If a	DST ALLOCATION  a proration method of cost allocation was used, are the units comparable terms of bedroom size, square footage and level of amenities? 4 CFR 92.205(d)]	Yes	□ No	□ N/A

8.				
	If a proration method of cost allocation was used, were any HOME-ineligible costs subtracted from the total development cost to determine the			
	total HOME-eligible development costs?	Yes	No	N/A
	[24 CFR 92.205(d)]			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
				ļ
9.				
	If units are not comparable, was a unit-by-unit cost allocation system used?			
	[24 CFR 92.205(d)]	Yes	□ No	N/A
		163		- IV/ A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
10.				
	Was cost allocation calculation documented?			
	[24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(ii)]		Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

7-5 09/2005

# E. ELIGIBLE/REASONABLE COSTS

11.			
	Does the Sources and Uses Statement or other documentation indicate that there were sufficient HOME-eligible costs associated with the project to support the amount of HOME funds provided? [24 CFR 92.205(d)]	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
12.			
	Were costs reasonable (e.g., acquisition costs supported by appraisal, developer's fees, rehabilitation or construction costs within local norms, etc.)? [24 CFR 92.505(a); 24 CFR 85.22 and OMB Circular A-87, Attachment A, Section C.2]	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
13.			
	If HOME funds paid for site improvements were they eligible improvements located on the project site?  [24 CFR 92.206(a)(3)(iii)]	No	□ N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

#### F. PROPERTY STANDARDS

14.				
	Was work performed in accordance with written rehabilitation standards, if applicable? [24 CFR 92.251(a)]	Yes	No	□ N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	L		
	Describe Dasis for Conclusion.			
15.				
	Do work-write-ups and final inspection reports indicate that the project met a	ıll		
	applicable property standards at completion? [24 CFR 92.251(a)]		Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
16.				
	Do subsequent PJ inspection reports indicate that the owner is maintaining	$\Box$	$\Box$	
	the housing in compliance with applicable State and local housing quality	Yes	No	N/A
	standards and code requirements or, in the absence of such standards or code requirements, with Housing Voucher Housing Quality Standards as set	İ		
	out in 24 CFR 982.401?	İ		
	[24 CFR 92.251(c)]	<u> </u>		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

7-7 09/2005

17.				
	If the project involved rehabilitation, does it comply with the lead hazard		$\overline{\Box}$	
	reduction requirements of Part 35, if applicable? (Complete the appropriate	Yes	□ No	N/A
	Lead Hazard Monitoring Exhibits 24-1, 24-2, or 24-3.]	162	NO	IN/A
	[24 CFR 92.355]			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
18.				
	If the project is new construction, does it meet the Model Energy Code?			
	[24 CFR 92.251(a)]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
10				
19.			$\overline{}$	
	Does the project meet the applicable Section 504 accessibility requirements?		Ш	
	[24 CFR 92.251(a)(3)]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

#### G. INITIAL AND ON-GOING RENT AND OCCUPANCY REQUIREMENTS

0.		
Are tenants income-eligible at the time of initial occupancy? [24 CFR 92.252]		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion.		
1.		
Is the project owner using the correct income limits to determine eligibility of		
tenants?	Yes	No
[24 CFR 92.2, "Low-income family," and 24 CFR 92.252]		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
2.		
Is the project owner using the same definition of income to qualify all		
applicants?	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

7-9 09/2005

23.			
	Is income being determined in accordance with the Technical Guide to		
	Determining Income and Allowances for the HOME Program?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 92.203(a) and (b)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
24.			
	Are initial tenant incomes determined based upon source documentation?		
	[24 CFR 92.203(a)(1)]	Yes	 No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	100	
25.			
	Is the project owner recertifying tenant income annually?		Ш
	[24 CFR 92.252(h)]	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	1		

a.	Are tenant incomes being reexamined using source documentation every $6^{th}$ year?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 92.252(h)]		
De	escribe Basis for Conclusion:		
b.	If the answer to "a" above is "yes," what is the process used for the tenant inc	ome	
	reexamination?		
De	escribe Basis for Conclusion:		
	Serior Dubis for Conclusion.		
<b>13</b> 7,	ere project targeting requirements met at initial occupancy (i.e., all HOME		
	its occupied by low-income tenants and, if 5 or more HOME-assisted units,		Ш
	% of HOME units occupied by tenants below 50% of area median income)?	Yes	No
ı	± •		
_	4 CFR 92.252(a) and (b)]	<u></u>	
De	escribe Basis for Conclusion:		
1			

7-11 09/2005

28.			
	Did the initial project rent structure meet HOME requirements (i.e., rents no		
	greater than the high HOME rents and, in projects with 5 or more HOME-	Yes	No
	assisted units, at least 20% of HOME units charging rents not higher than the		
	low HOME rent)?		
	[24 CFR 92.252(a) and (b)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
29.			
	Did the project use the established utility allowances to calculate maximum rent		
	levels?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 92.252(c)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
30.			
	Did the PJ review and approve the project rents?		
	[24 CFR 92.252(c)]	Yes	No
			-140
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

31.				
	If the tenants receive Housing Voucher Choice Program (HVC) assistance, is the total rent charged by the owner (to both the HVC and the tenant) not			
	greater than the maximum allowable HOME rent?	Yes	No	N/A
	[24 CFR 92.252(a) or (b)]	<u> </u>		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
32.				
	If any in-place tenant has an income above 80% of the area median income,	П		
	is the correct rent being charged to the over-income tenant?		ш	Ш
	[24 CFR 92.252(i)]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
33.				
	Does the owner refrain from discriminating against tenants with rental			
	assistance subsidies?		Yes	No
	[24 CFR 92.252(d)]		103	140
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

7-13 09/2005

34.				
	As tenants vacate low HOME rent units, are they replaced by other tenants			
	with incomes below 50% of Area Median Income?	Yes	No.	N/A
	[24 CFR 92.252(i)]			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
35.				
	If the income of a tenant in a low HOME rent unit rises above 50% of area			
	median income, is the unit designated as a high HOME rent unit and the	Yes	No	N/A
	next available, comparable unit designated as low HOME rent unit?	162	NO	IV/A
	[24 CFR 92.252(b)(1)]			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
36.				
	Are the low HOME rent rules for units receiving project-based rental	ГП	$\Box$	
	assistance applied only when the tenant is very low-income (i.e., income is		Ш	Ш
	below 50% of area median income	Yes	No	N/A
	[24 CFR 92.252(b)(2)]			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

37.				
	In projects where the HOME-assisted units float, when an in-place tenant's income increases above 80% of median income, is the next available, comparable unit made available to a HOME-eligible tenant?  [24 CFR 92.252(j)]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
38.				
	Are leases free of all prohibited provisions? [24 CFR 92.253(b)]		Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
39.				
	Are the leases for a minimum of one year (unless otherwise agreed upon by tenant and owner)? [24 CFR 92.253(a)]		Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

7-15 09/2005

40.			
	Does the owner have written tenant selection criteria?		
	[24 CFR 92.253(d)]	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
41.			
	Does the owner follow his/her tenant selection policy?		
	[24 CFR 92.253(d)]	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
Н.	OTHER PROJECT REQUIREMENTS		
42.	Headha DI was and a dood restriction on the magnetic to approve its continued		
	Has the PJ recorded a deed restriction on the property to ensure its continued use as affordable rental housing and adherence to all applicable requirements?		
	[24 CFR 92.252(e)]	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

43.				
	If HOME funds were used for refinancing of existing debt, did the project meet the refinancing guidelines established in the PJ's consolidated plan?	Yes	No	N/A
	[24 CFR 92.206(b)]  Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
	Describe Dasis for Conclusion.			
44.				
	If project was new construction, did the PJ perform a site and neighborhood			
	standards review in accordance with 24 CFR 983.6(b)?	Yes	 No	N/A
	[24 CFR 92.202(b)]			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
45.				
	If HOME tenant-based rental assistance was provided in the project, were			
	tenants in place at the time of the rehabilitation?	Yes	— No	N/A
	[24 CFR 92.209(c)(3)]			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

7-17 09/2005

46.				
	If the project has five or more HOME-assisted units, is it complying with			
	the PJ's affirmative marketing requirements?	\	<u></u>	
	[24 CFR 92.351]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
47.	W	21		
	Was an environmental review completed for the project? (Complete Exhibit	21-	Ш	Ш
	1 to answer this question.)		Yes	No
	[24 CFR 92.352]  Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
40				
48.	If the project has 12 or many HOME assisted units, does the file			
	If the project has 12 or more HOME-assisted units, does the file			
	documentation indicate that the PJ applied the Davis Bacon labor standards	Yes	No	N/A
	requirements to the project?			
	[24 CFR 92.354]  Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

a. Supporting income documentation (for initial eligibility determinations and periodic redeterminations as required in 24 CFR 92.252(h)?  [24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(v)]  b. Tenant income certifications (as permitted in 24 CFR 92.252(h))?  [24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(v)]  c. Lead-Based Paint notification?  [24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(iv) and 92.508(a)(7)(vi)]  d. Lease and lease addendum?  [24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(ix)]  Describe Basis for Conclusion:  CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT  Did the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise ineligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for Federal procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract execution or during the period of project work?  [24 CFR 92.358(a) and 24 CFR 93.351]		bes the file documentation for each unit contain the following:		
[24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(v)]  b. Tenant income certifications (as permitted in 24 CFR 92.252(h))? [24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(v)]  c. Lead-Based Paint notification? [24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(iv) and 92.508(a)(7)(vi)]  d. Lease and lease addendum? [24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(ix)]  Pescribe Basis for Conclusion:  CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT  Did the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise ineligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for Federal procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract execution or during the period of project work?	a.			
[24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(v)]  c. Lead-Based Paint notification? [24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(iv) and 92.508(a)(7)(vi)]  d. Lease and lease addendum? [24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(ix)]  Pescribe Basis for Conclusion:  CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT  Did the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise ineligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for Federal procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract execution or during the period of project work?		1	Yes	No
c. Lead-Based Paint notification? [24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(iv) and 92.508(a)(7)(vi)]  d. Lease and lease addendum? [24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(ix)]  Pescribe Basis for Conclusion:  CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT  Did the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise ineligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for Federal procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract execution or during the period of project work?	b.	` <del>*</del> _ ` ` ' ' '		
[24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(iv) and 92.508(a)(7)(vi)]  d. Lease and lease addendum? [24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(ix)]  Pescribe Basis for Conclusion:  CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT  Did the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise ineligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for Federal procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract execution or during the period of project work?		[24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(v)]	Yes	No
d. Lease and lease addendum?  [24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(ix)]  Pescribe Basis for Conclusion:  CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT  Did the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise ineligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for Federal procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract execution or during the period of project work?	c.			
[24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(ix)]  Describe Basis for Conclusion:  CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT  Did the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise ineligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for Federal procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract execution or during the period of project work?		[24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(iv) and 92.508(a)(7)(vi)]	Yes	No
Did the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise ineligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for Federal procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract execution or during the period of project work?	d.			
Did the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise ineligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for Federal procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract execution or during the period of project work?		[24 CFR 92.508(a)(3)(ix)]	Yes	No
Did the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise ineligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for Federal procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract execution or during the period of project work?				
ineligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for Federal procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract execution or during the period of project work?	701	ALCORD LICONOM AND A CICAGONO		
ineligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for Federal procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract execution or during the period of project work?	CO	NSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT		
Federal procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract execution or during the period of project work?				
execution or during the period of project work?	Die	d the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise		
	Dio ine	d the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise eligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for	Yes	No
[24 CFK 92.330(a) and 24 CFK 63.33]	Dio ine Feo	d the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise eligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for deral procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	Die ine Fee	d the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise eligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for deral procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract	Yes	No
	i e	d the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise eligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for deral procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract ecution or during the period of project work?  4 CFR 92.350(a) and 24 CFR 85.35]	Yes	
	Die ine Fee exe	d the PJ ensure that its contractors are not excluded, disqualified or otherwise eligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for deral procurement and nonprocurement programs at the time of contract ecution or during the period of project work?  4 CFR 92.350(a) and 24 CFR 85.35]	Yes	N <sub>1</sub>

7-19 09/2005

51.			
Were progress inspections of the project performed prior to approving the			
developer or contractor's request for payment?		Yes	No
[24 CFR 92.505(a) and 24 CFR 85.36(b)(2)]			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
52.			
Did the PJ review and approve change orders for any changes in the scope		П	
of work?		Ш	
[24 CFR 92.505(a) and 24 CFR 85.36(b)(2) and (f)]	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>		
73.			
If the project involved rehabilitation, was work performed in accordance			
with written rehabilitation standards?	Yes	— No	N/A
[24 CFR 92.251(a)(1)]	163	NO	IV/ A
- , , , , , -			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
- , , , , , -	•		
- , , , , , -			
- , , , , , -			
- , , , , , -			

54.					
		final property standards inspection performed? FR 92.251(a) and 24 CFR 85.36(b)(2)]			
	[24 CI	FR 92.251(a) and 24 CFR 85.30(b)(2)]		Yes	No
	Descr	ibe Basis for Conclusion:			
<u>J.</u> 55.		TE INSPECTION (if applicable)			
-	[OS]	Does the project appear to meet applicable property standards?		$\overline{}$	
	LOSI	[24 CFR 92.251(a) or (c)]	Ш	Ш	
		[24 CFR 92.231(a) of (c)]	Yes	No	N/A
56.					
	[OS]	Was all work detailed in the construction contract complete and			
		consistent with rehabilitation or construction standards?			
		[24 CFR 92.251(a) and 24 CFR 85.36(b)(2)]	Yes	No	N/A
	Descr	ibe Basis for Conclusion:			

7-21 09/2005

_	$\overline{}$
_	-
.,	•

[OS] Was all work documented in the payment request completed?			
[24 CFR 92.505(a); 24 CFR 85.20(b)(6) and 85.36(b)(2)]	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			